

STEPHANIE S. CHRISTENSEN  
Acting United States Attorney  
SCOTT M. GARRINGER  
Assistant United States Attorney  
Chief, Criminal Division  
DAVID C. LACHMAN (Cal. Bar No. 261711)  
NISHA CHANDRAN (Cal. Bar No. 325345)  
Assistant United States Attorneys  
General Crimes Section  
1100 United States Courthouse  
312 North Spring Street  
Los Angeles, California 90012  
Telephone: (213) 894-5564/2429  
Facsimile: (213) 894-2927  
E-mail: daivd.lachman@usdoj.gov  
nisha.chandran@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

NATALIE LE DEMOLA et al.,

Defendants.

No. CR 22-205-JFW-1, 5, 11

ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL DATE AND  
FINDINGS REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME  
PERIODS PURSUANT TO SPEEDY TRIAL  
ACT

**TRIAL DATE:**

April 18, 2023, at 8:30 a.m.

**STATUS CONFERENCE:**

April 3, 2023, at 8:30 a.m.

The Court has read and considered the Stipulation Regarding Request for (1) Continuance of Trial Date and (2) Findings of Excludable Time Periods Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act, filed by the parties in this matter on September 6, 2022. The Court hereby finds that the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference into this Order, demonstrates facts that support a continuance of the trial date in this matter, and provides good cause for a finding of excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

1       The Court further finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by  
2 the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and  
3 defendant in a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance  
4 would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible,  
5 or result in a miscarriage of justice; (iii) the case is so unusual  
6 and so complex, due to the nature of the prosecution and the number  
7 of defendants, that it is unreasonable to expect preparation for pre-  
8 trial proceedings or for the trial itself within the time limits  
9 established by the Speedy Trial Act; and (iv) failure to grant the  
10 continuance would unreasonably deny defendant continuity of counsel  
11 and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for  
12 effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due  
13 diligence.

14       THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

15       1. The trial in this matter is continued from September 6,  
16 2022, at 8:30 a.m., to **April 18, 2023, at 8:30 a.m.** The status  
17 conference hearing is set for **April 3, 2023, at 8:00 a.m.**

18       2. The time period of August 26, 2022, to April 18, 2023,  
19 inclusive, is excluded in computing the time within which the trial  
20 must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i),  
21 (B)(ii) and (B)(iv).

22       3. Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other  
23 provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time  
24 periods are excluded from the period within which trial must  
25 commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of

26 //

27 //

1 the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of  
2 additional time periods from the period within which trial must  
3 commence.

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5  
6 September 7, 2022  
7 DATE

  
8 HONORABLE JOHN F. WALTER  
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

10 **CC: USPO/PSA; USM**

11 Presented by:

12 /s/  
13 DAVID C. LACHMAN  
14 NISHA CHANDRAN  
15 Assistant United States Attorneys  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28